

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D	DISCOVERY (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0112703 DATE: <u>10/31/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>10:00</u>	DEPART: <u>11:30</u>	
FACILITY NAME: SONNY'S ENTERPRISES, INC.			
FACILITY LOCATION: 5605 Hiatus Rd			
TAMARAC 33321-640	8		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: MICE Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/15/2008 / 3/15/2013 (effective date) (end date)	HAEL BAUER	PHONE: (954)720-4100 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	
PART NICHERONI COMPLIANCE CTATUS (ch	1 [7]1 box		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (ch	_		
IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMP	LIANCE SIG	GNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
PART II: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Re (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	ule 62-210.300, F.A.	.C.	
1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.)			
PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANC</u> (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	CE REQUIREMEN	<u>VTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.	
 Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500 Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.0 	F.A.C.? (Rule 62-2) discharge of air poll	210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.)	Γ) ∐Yes ⊠No ∐Yes ⊠No

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)
cycles? 2) recycling cleaning solvents?	of reducing VOC emissions by: Iffective application with a minimum of overspray? Sive coating?
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUR A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>ES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.
1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment with c) replacement of existing equipment substantiall recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62 local program office?	out replacement? ly different than that noted on the most
Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment with c) replacement of existing equipment substantiall recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62)	out replacement? ly different than that noted on the most
Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment with c) replacement of existing equipment substantiall recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62 local program office?	out replacement? ly different than that noted on the most
Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment with c) replacement of existing equipment substantiall recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62 local program office?	out replacement? ly different than that noted on the most

COMMENTS: In a compliance inspection conducted on 10/31/2012, AQD staff (E. Susky) observed operations at Sonny's. The facility manufacturers and paints car wash equipment. They utilizes one spray booth. Mr. John Payne accompanied staff on the inspection. Mr. Walter Haltenhof submitted VOC records to the department. The highest month was August, 2012 at 34.97 lb/VOC per day. This is below their permit thershold. Housekeeping was very good and the booth is propertly maintained.